

1 HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

10 ABDIQAFAR WAGAFE, et al.,  
11  
12 Plaintiffs,  
13  
14 v.  
15  
16

17 DONALD TRUMP, President of the  
18 United States, et al.,  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

Defendants.

CASE NO. C17-94 RAJ  
ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on Defendants' emergency motion for stay pending appellate review. Dkt. # 156. Plaintiffs oppose the motion. Dkt. # 157. The Court understands this motion to be one for reconsideration of its April 11, 2018 Order denying in part Defendants' motion for a protective order. Dkt. # 148; *see also* Dkt. # 156 at 8. On May 9, 2018, the Court held a telephonic hearing on the matter. For the reasons that follow, the Court **GRANTS** Defendants' motion for reconsideration. Dkt. # 156.

"Motions for reconsideration are disfavored." LCR 7(h)(1). "The court will ordinarily deny such motions in the absence of a showing of manifest error in the prior

1      ruling or a showing of new facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to  
2      its attention earlier with reasonable diligence.” *Id.*

3      On April 24, 2018, the Court gave Defendants the opportunity to file a sampling of  
4      case-by-case determinations regarding individual national security threats as they appear  
5      on the class list. Dkt. # 162. Defendants filed the sampling *ex parte* and the Court  
6      reviewed *in camera*. Though the Court finds this to be a close call—Defendants could  
7      have attempted this strategy earlier to present such information—the Court nonetheless  
8      finds that Defendants’ motion for reconsideration has merit.

9      The Court reconsiders the portion of its prior Order, Dkt. # 148, that required  
10     Defendants to produce case-by-case determinations to Plaintiffs’ attorneys under an  
11     attorney eyes only provision. In reconsidering, the Court once more reviews the  
12     underlying motion for protective order. Dkt. # 126. The Court finds that it is appropriate  
13     to find in favor of Defendants. Accordingly, the Court GRANTS Defendants’ motion for  
14     a limited protective order to produce the class list under an attorney eyes only provision.  
15     Dkt. # 126.

16      The Court therefore **ORDERS** the parties to abide by the following limited  
17     protective order:

18      Disclosure of, and access to, the names, Alien numbers (“A numbers”), and  
19     application filing dates of the unnamed plaintiff members of the Naturalization Class and  
20     Adjustment-of-Status Class shall be limited to the following:

- 21        (1) Plaintiffs’ attorneys of record, during such time as they continue to represent  
22              Plaintiffs;
- 23        (2) Experts retained by Plaintiffs to the extent reasonably necessary to prepare expert  
24              reports and testimony; and
- 25        (3) The Court and court personnel.

26      Plaintiffs’ attorneys of record shall maintain the above-described information in a  
27     secure manner, i.e. in a locked filing cabinet (for any paper copy) or in a password-

1 protected electronic file to which only authorized persons have access, and shall not  
2 transmit that information over any electronic mail or cloud-based sharing unless the  
3 method of transmission employs point-to-point encryption or other similar encrypted  
4 transmission.

5 Plaintiffs' counsel, and any person acting on their behalf, are prohibited from  
6 either disclosing to any individual who contacts them whether that individual is an  
7 unnamed member of either the Naturalization Class or Adjustment-of-Status class, or  
8 contacting the unnamed plaintiff members of the Naturalization Class and Adjustment-of-  
9 Status class for any purpose absent prior order of this Court.

10 Plaintiffs' counsel must strictly abide by this limited protective order.

11 Defendants agree to meet and confer with Plaintiffs' counsel over ways in which  
12 Defendants might be able to provide Plaintiffs' counsel with information about particular  
13 unnamed class members to develop evidence for use in their case. Defendants agree to  
14 do so while keeping in mind their obligation to protect against dangers to important  
15 governmental interests.

16 Dated this 10th day of May, 2018.

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27



20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
The Honorable Richard A. Jones  
United States District Judge